

Author Evaluation of the [Journal Name] Peer Review Process

Thank you for participating in this important evaluation of the [Journal Name] peer review process. The results of this study will be used to assess any changes that might be needed in our review process, and to develop an orientation program for new journal reviewers. We will report the outcome of the study in several public venues, and will post a summary of the results on the [journal's web site](#). The results will only be reported in aggregate form, with selected anonymous excerpts from narrative comments.

This is an anonymous survey; you are not asked for any identifying information. In order to proceed, select a single manuscript that you submitted to ANS within the past 18 months, and focus on the review of this particular manuscript in responding to the questions below.

When you have completed the form, click the "Submit" button at the end of the survey.

General Information

*** Approximately how many manuscripts have you submitted to any peer-reviewed journal?**

- Only 1
- Fewer than 10
- Between 11 and 20
- Between 21 and 30
- Over 31

*** Approximately how many peer-reviewed journal articles have you published to date? Include manuscripts that are accepted for publication (in press). Do not include manuscripts that are currently under review.**


- zero
- Fewer than 5
- Between 6 and 10
- Between 11 and 20
- Over 20

*** At the time that you submitted this manuscript for review, what was your primary role?**

- Undergraduate or Master's student
- Doctoral student
- Post-doctoral fellow
- Staff nurse
- Specialty certified advance practice
- Administrator
- Pre-tenure faculty
- Tenured faculty
- Retired
- Other

*** Were you aware of how the [Journal Name] review process would be conducted when you submitted your manuscript?**

- Yes, I knew what to expect
- Partially; I had a general idea but some aspects of the review process were not clear to me.
- No, I did not understand how this review would be conducted
- Other:

*** How soon after submitting your manuscript for review did you receive the results of the review? If you have not yet received the results of the review, select "Not Applicable", and you will be directed to the conclusion of the survey. **

- Within 8 weeks
- Between 8 and 12 weeks
- Longer than 12 weeks
- Not applicable; I have not yet received the review results

Quality of the Review

*** Compared to other manuscript reviews that you have received from any other journal, how would rate the overall review that you received from [Journal Name] for this manuscript?**

- I have not received reviews from other journals
- Extremely poor
- Poor
- About the same
- Better
- Much better

*** The reviewer comments reflected an accurate understanding of the content of my manuscript.**

- Agree
- Agree for at least one review, but not all
- Disagree
- Other

*** The reviewer comments were sufficiently detailed to support their recommendation for publication.**

- Agree
- Agree for at least one review, but not all
- Disagree
- Other

*** The reviewers offered constructive suggestions that helped me make strong revisions.**

- Agree
- Agree for at least one review, but not all
- Disagree
- Other

*** The reviewers' criticisms of my manuscript were fair and unbiased.**

- Agree
- Agree for at least one review, but not all

- Disagree
- Other

*** Overall quality of the review:**

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
The overall level of detail provided by the review was helpful	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Overall, the review provided useful guidance in developing my work for publication	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The overall review provided adequate rationale for the editor's decision	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Conclusion

*** What is the current status of your manuscript?**

- My manuscript is still being reviewed for [Journal Name]
- My manuscript was published or is in press with [Journal Name]
- I have not done anything further with this manuscript
- I am revising the manuscript for re-submission to [Journal Name]
- I am revising the manuscript for submission to another journal
- I revised the manuscript, and it is currently under review with another journal
- The revised manuscript is in press or has been published in another nursing journal
- The revised manuscript is in press has been published in a non-nursing journal
- Other

*** I prefer:**

- Snail mail paper copies of manuscript to editor
- Email manuscript to editor
- Manuscript submission through the journal's online peer review system
- Other

*** Please provide feedback related to the [Journal Name] submission process and on-line peer review system.**

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
The web site is easy to use	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The on-line submission instructions need improvement	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The author's guide needs improvement	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Please provide any further comments or suggestions related to your experience of the [Journal Name] review process.

* Indicates Response Required

Submit