

[Journal Name] Peer Review Rating Form

* Ms. Number * Reviewer Number: * Rater ID

Rating

1 Enter the ratings given by the reviewer for this manuscript (1= poor, 5=excellent)

	1	2	3	4	5
A Consistent with the purposes of this journal; innovative and important to the advancement of nursing knowledge	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
B Relevant to the projected issue topic	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
C Concise, logical ordering of ideas; readability	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
D Presentation of original ideas with sound rationale	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
E Content is well-founded	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
F Scholarly methods and approaches to inquiry	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
G Adequate documentation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

H Enter the overall rating the reviewer gave for this manuscript

Comments

* Traits of the reviewer comments

	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	N.A.
	Best									Worst	
2. Detail of commentary	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
3. Commentary consistent with review criteria ratings (see #1 A-H above)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
4. Flaws or shortcomings identified	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
5. Indication that reviewer understands the Ms. content	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
6. Specific suggestions	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
7. Constructive tone	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
8. Overall Quality of the review	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

* Do you find any negative traits in this review?

	Yes	No
9. Demeaning or disrespectful comments	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
10. Superficial treatment	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

11. Bias against the author's perspective

* How do the reviewer's comments relate to the recommendation of the reviewer?

1 Substantially 2 3 Moderately 4 5 Not at all

	1	2	3	4	5
12. Comments support recommendation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13. Comments are sufficiently detailed	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
14. Comments are excessively detailed	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
15. Comments are superficial	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
16. Comments unrelated to recommendation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

17. Other comments or observations related to the "fit" between the reviewer's comments and the recommendation for publication:

Recommendation

* 18. Which of the following factors appear to have influenced the reviewer's final recommendation? (check all that apply)

- Writing/Grammar
 Consistency with ANS purpose or issue topic
 Method/Scholarly approach used (or mis-used)
 Theoretical Significance
 Professional/Practice Significance
 Innovation and Creative significance
 Other

* 19. How helpful is this review in guiding the editor in making a final editorial decision to accept, reject, or request a revision?

- Not at all helpful
 Somewhat helpful, but lacking in significant respects
 Neutral
 Generally helpful, but could be improved
 Extremely helpful

Comments

* Indicates Response Required

Submit